1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA John B. Quinn (SBN: 090378)	AN, LLP
2	johnquinn@quinnemanuel.com	
3	865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-2543	
4	Telephone: (213) 443-3000	
5	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
6	Stephen A. Swedlow (pro hac vice) stephenswedlow@quinnemanuel.com	
7	Amit B. Patel (pro hac vice) amitbpatel@quinnemanuel.com	
<i>'</i>	500 W. Madison Street, Suite 2450 Chicago, IL 60661-2510	
8	Telephone: (312) 705-7400	
9	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401	
10	Arthur M. Roberts (SBN: 275272) arthurroberts@quinnemanuel.com	
11	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4788	
12	Telephone: (415) 875-6600	
13	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
14	Attorneys for Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.	
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17		
[	CAREN EHRET,	Case No. 3:14-cv-00113-EMC
18	CAREN EHRET, Plaintiff,	
18 19	·	Case No. 3:14-cv-00113-EMC  SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF ARTHUR M. ROBERTS
18 19 20	Plaintiff, v.	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, Inc.,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v.	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, Inc.,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, Inc.,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, Inc.,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, Inc.,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, Inc.,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, Inc.,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, Inc.,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF

## 1 **DECLARATION OF ARTHUR ROBERTS** 2 I, Arthur Roberts, declare and state as follows: 3 1. I am an attorney at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, which represents Uber in this case. 4 5 2. The vast majority of the emails (tens of thousands) yielded by applying the agreed 6 upon ESI search terms to the emails of the original General Manager custodians are customer 7 inquiries attaching receipts that were sent to users of uberTAXI. 8 3. These receipts are within the scope of the search terms because they specifically 9 identify the 20% charge as a "Gratuity & Service Charge." Every uberTAXI receipt I have 10 reviewed hundreds of taxi receipts from the time frame at issue in this case and they all identify 11 the 20% charge as a "Gratuity & Service Charge." 12 4. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and accurate copy of an uberTAXI receipt sent to 13 Plaintiff, which Plaintiff produced in this case. 14 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San 15 Francisco, California. 16 Dated: December 19, 2014 17 Signed: Juff Part 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28